



INDICTMENT

Dwight Eisenhower spent a lifetime building up the military industrial complex. His goal like many others such as McCloy was an American Empire. Why would he want to see his successor, Kennedy, tear all that down?

He wouldn't, and he didn't.

Dwight Eisenhower also worked closely with Allen Dulles throughout the entire 1950s overthrowing governments in order to establish a Pax Americana.

Why would he suddenly stop at overthrowing another government, that being the regime of John Kennedy?

He wouldn't and he didn't.

It was absolutely necessary to include Dwight Eisenhower in the tight circle that would decide the fate of John Kennedy.

Dwight Eisenhower was a wildly popular president and war hero.

He enjoyed an impeccable reputation at the time.

He was a figure beyond reproach.

To not include him and run the risk of having Dwight Eisenhower oppose you if you chose to take out the President of the United States would be unthinkable. For that reason, Dwight Eisenhower would have to be included in the group of elder statesmen who would decide John Kennedy's fate.

You wouldn't take out the President if Dwight Eisenhower thought otherwise.

The questions put before the group were pretty simple.

One, what is the direction of the country good or bad?

Two, if the direction is bad, is regime change needed?

Three, if regime change is needed, how urgently should that regime change be conducted?

These were the questions they asked themselves, and these were the questions that were presented to many heads of corporations, major banks, and world leaders.

To be fair, the answer was overwhelming amongst these types of people.

John Kennedy was bad for business.

Regime change was required.

Regime change was required urgently.

These men did not want to wait five years, which is what they would have had to wait if John Kennedy was reelected. Given the difficulty of beating an incumbent, and given John Kennedy's popularity with the masses, there was every reason to believe he would be reelected.

These men looked at the state of world affairs, and they did not like what they were seeing.

Given John Kennedy's policy on Cuba, there was every reason to believe the rest of the Caribbean rim would fall.

His Alliance for Progress program was not what they wanted. Campesinos educated and prosperous? Forget about it.

The cabal didn't like his position on Indonesia either, where they secretly knew that the world's largest untapped copper and gold mines existed.

In essence, everyone in the cabal felt that John Kennedy was weak.

Dwight Eisenhower had disdain for John Kennedy's excessive spending. He also didn't like the cult of personality that he felt was developing around the presidency.

And he certainly didn't approve of his management style in handling the Bay of Pigs fiasco. He preferred that underlings duke it out in front of him.

...

From an operational standpoint, it would be absolutely necessary to include Dwight Eisenhower in the group that would decide John Kennedy's fate. He was a respected politician. He had the allegiance of military personnel.

What Dwight Eisenhower thought carried weight in the view many Americans.

In short, he was revered.

It would be absolutely necessary to include Eisenhower in supporting any investigation that might ensue from John Kennedy's assassination.

Yet, like he had very little to say publicly about the Warren Commission findings.

That is surprising, but not too surprising as like was a very reticent man.

Eisenhower always preferred to operate behind-the-scenes and let other people do the front work for him. This was how he took down McCarthy.

One thing is for sure, like didn't attack the Warren Commission findings.

My guess is that he did not want to know the truth let alone speak about it.

...

Several comments of Eisenhower's are of note though. On the day that Kennedy was shot, Eisenhower gave an interview sometime in the afternoon on 11/22/63. He notes the following.

1. The American people will stand as one man ... faithfully behind the government.
2. This assassination was the work of a "psychopathic accident."
3. Our freedoms permit us all the capability to commit such an event.
4. The American people will not be stampeded.

Stampeded into what Eisenhower does not say. Is he afraid that Americans will not support the government and instead get stampeded into believing a - OMG - conspiracy theory?

You can watch the video [here](#). You can form your own opinions, but to me, Eisenhower is already asking us to support a narrative that the government will arrive at.

In this video, Eisenhower comes off as sincere and heartfelt, and I truly believe that he was.

I don't think Eisenhower's mind would allow him to believe for one second that he was somehow responsible for JFK's death.

After all, the committee (of which he was a part) that called for regime change didn't necessarily authorize JFK's death.

No, that was left up to Allen Dulles and the CIA.

I truly believe that Eisenhower had no knowledge of the impending assassination, or the planning thereof.

In my mind, he was truly surprised.

Yet, this does not exonerate him.

Ike, after all was a politician. He was a politician while in the military. He was a politician outside the military as president. He was a politician when he retired.

Politicians don't think like the rest of us.

They are a special breed of people.

Let me illustrate.

...

You see, Eisenhower and his friends don't think like you and I.

Eisenhower thinks in terms of national security. He thinks in terms of millions of people dying.

You and I think on a personal level.

If a bully exists in the neighborhood, we don't think of the bully as killing millions of people. We think on a personal level in terms of ourselves. For that reason killing the bully isn't a serious option for us.

It isn't an option for us because we obey the law. We don't want to be tried for murder.

People on Eisenhower's level don't have to worry about being tried for murder because they enjoy a certain type of sovereign immunity. Plus they represent the biggest mother in the valley.

So, when it comes to John Kennedy, Eisenhower (and the others) put his (JFK's) fate in terms of national security.

In this Eisenhower ceases to think like a normal person.

He rationalizes in his mind that John Kennedy is a threat to 150 million Americans and another 350 million people around the globe who live in the free world.

He rationalizes that it's okay to kill John Kennedy because by doing so he's saving hundreds of millions of lives.

Did you get it?

That is precisely how they think.

That's why Eisenhower and the others conspired to take out the President of the United States and not bat an eyelash about it.

Everything with these guys is framed in terms of national security.

And it's been that way for the last 75 years.

That's how they get away with their bullshit.

And that's why you look at Eisenhower and say, "Ok, like looks like a kindly old uncle of mine. He would never do that."

Oh, but he did.

You see, you're interpreting Eisenhower and his actions in terms of the way you behave as an individual.

That's not how Eisenhower and our other leaders think.

Copyright 2024 Archer Crosley All Rights Reserved

What is the rationale for going after these men after all these many years?

Primarily because they participated in a murder and its cover-up. In so doing they helped create the corporate New World Order that we live in today. This is a world that is damaging and destructive to not only the people of the United States, but the people of the world. It has produced economies of war, enslavement, and exploitation.

At first glance, the qualifications of these men seem unimpeachable. Why, you might think to yourself, these men were of great honor. They would never do something like this. You believe this because you have been programmed to believe this. Yet we know that all men are flawed. All good men make dissastuous decisions.

It is precisely because of their unimpeachable credentials, that they did make the choice to have John Kennedy removed from power by whatever means necessary. They felt that they would never be questioned. They felt that their authority would reign supreme.

And they were largely correct. The American people are reluctant to think ill of men like Dwight Eisenhower. They have been programmed their entire lives to believe that Ike was an American hero. It's difficult to accept that one has been the victim of a con job.

Evidence

Much of the evidence arises from the relationships these men had with each other. Each had their own axe to grind with regard to JFK. The accompanying map helps demonstrate their relationships.

Indictment

The people of the United States versus:

- Allen Dulles
- John Jay McCloy
- Richard Helms
- Arment Hammer
- Henry Cabot Lodge
- Phaedon Bull
- Dwight Eisenhower
- Lucius Clay
- Henry Loe
- CD Jackson
- Crawford, Scales and Moore
- Chase Manhattan Bank
- Morgan Guaranty Trust
- JP Morgan
- Time Life
- CIA
- The Rockefeller Foundation
- The Council on Foreign Relations
- The United States Government

Crime: The murder of John Fitzgerald Kennedy on November 22, 1963.

Their impeccable credentials, notwithstanding, and knowing that good men can get together and do bad things, these men and their institutions, blinded and consumed by the threat of communism, and becoming convinced that the President of the United States was not fully committed to the Pax Americana that these men had forged, in whole or in part, did authorize regime change in the United States on or about the years 1962 to 1963 thus directly resulting in the assassination of John Fitzgerald Kennedy.

These men and agents of Corporate America had the desire, the motive, the influence and the wherewithal to engineer the regime change and to manage its subsequent investigation and reportage.

The evidence is circumstantial yet overwhelming. There is no gun nor smoking gun, yet each man in his own way possessed and exhibited animosity toward the President. Their moral characters share the common trait of unbridled arrogance and entitlement which propelled them to violate the Constitution in order to "save" on behalf of an electorate that was not consulted.

The chief beneficiaries of their actions were Corporate America, its officers and its shareholders. This was accomplished through eternal war and neo-colonialism. The evidence for this is the New World Order that they created.

Copyright 2024 Archer Crosley All Rights Reserved

These men had a history of overthrowing or trying to overthrow governments.

- 1953: Mossaddegh, Iran
- 1954: Arment, Guatemala
- 1956: Operation Strangle, Syria
- 1957: Operation Vengeance, Syria
- 1957-59: An order to overthrow of Sukarno, Indonesia
- 1959: An order to overthrow of Qasim, a young Saddam Hussein works with US intelligence. Iraq
- 1959: The attempted murder of Prince Sihanouk, Cambodia

...like was a heavy man.

These are only a small sample of US foreign interventions. It establishes a solid history of regime change by the US and its leaders. In the same way that we establish a history of murder for a common criminal, we can do the same for a nation. It is logical to think that the same people who overthrow or attempted to overthrow a foreign government might be inclined to do so the same domestically.

Although never an official member of the CIA, McCloy was one of the founding fathers of the CIA. He was an expert in intelligence in the 1940s years, and he was a friend of Allen Dulles.

Personal Liability of Public Officials Under Federal Law, Fourth Edition

NCJ Number 115457
Author(s) P J Harbo, J D Weeks
Date Published 1988
Length 75 pages

Annotation
This report reviews the current status of personal liability of State and local officials and employees under the Civil Rights Act of 1971 (Section 1983).

Abstract
Section 1983 focuses on the misuse of power possessed by virtue of State law and made possible only because the wrongdoer has authority under State law. Although the language of the law does not mention immunity, a qualified or absolute immunity is available. Depending on the circumstances and the duties of the official, legislative and judicial are the main beneficiaries of absolute immunity. Local governing bodies that have both legislative and administrative functions generally have qualified immunity except when exercising exclusive legislative powers. In most cases qualified immunity must be pleaded and proved by the defendant. Liability exists for intentional acts as well as for negligence but not in cases involving simple negligence or mere lack of due care. Judicial decisions have also made it clear that a supervisory official need not personally commit the wrongful act to become liable. The general rule is that officials can become liable if they directed, look part in, approved of, were present at, or had knowledge of a subordinate's misconduct or if that official's negligence caused or contributed to that misconduct. The United States Supreme Court has held that substantial damages should be awarded only to compensate actual injury, although punitive damages are possible in some cases. 101 reference notes.

Damages Sought:

The removal of the enforced Pax Americana.

To achieve this end:

Media companies may not be owned by other corporations. They must be their own freestanding entities. They may not have shareholders. They may not be traded publicly. No former government official, or member of a political party past or present may work for that media corporation. Nor may any family members of a prominent family, a wealthy family, a political family, nor any friends or allies thereof work for that media corporation.

Corporations will be deemed as non-persons and not eligible to lobby Congress or any state legislature. Nor will they be permitted to pay any money to any entity or individual who attempts to circumvent this restriction.

The repeal of the 17th amendment. US senators must be chosen by the state legislature, not by direct election of the people, in accordance with the ideals of the Founding Fathers.

The repeal of the direct election of President. The President will be chosen by the state legislatures in a manner similar to the winner take all system that we have today. Each state will be apportioned electoral votes in proportion to the population.

The abolishment of the CIA. The CIA arose out of fear, and it fosters fear. Rather than spying on other nations its replacement agency will be an augmented Peace Corps designed to aid foreign nations by making their people stronger and less poor.

A forgiving of all debt incurred through the IMF and the World Bank.

An aggressive industrialization of the Global South in order to lift its peoples out of poverty.

The abolishment of Skull and Bones or any other secret fraternity, sorority or club.

The abolishing of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Rockefeller Foundation, the Ford Foundation, the Trilateral Commission, the Atlantic Council, the Bilderbergers, or any other such think tank.

The abolishing of our relations with the United Kingdom.

The forbiddance of any American from joining any British organization.

The total destruction of Buckingham Place and the Palace of Versailles.

The abolishing of the Rhodes scholarship with regard to American participation.

The forbiddance of any individual to sit on more than one board be it private or public.

A moratorium on any Ivy League graduate from participating in any form of government for 100 years.

The dismantling of all Ivy League universities, their assets to be distributed to more worthy schools.

No one school may have more than one member on the Supreme Court.

Dwight Eisenhower's name must be removed from all public and private buildings, schools and structures.

Justification

While some of these charges may seem outlandish, there is good reason to make these claims.

The British Charges

Great Britain was a nasty empire of oppression which the cabal did emulate. Much of our techniques in intelligence and counterintelligence were taught to us by the British. Men like McCloy actively sought out the British to develop our intelligence capabilities.

The Rhodes scholarship was specifically set up by Cecil Rhodes to keep the British empire together and to rope America back into the British sphere of influence. Many of our leaders have walked to the UK to be dazzled and bewitched into the type of oppressive and elitist government the British have afforded its own people.

Buckingham Palace and the Royal family along with peerage are the glue that holds criminal corporate Britain together. This might not be such a big deal except that our leaders have embraced the very system that our revolutionary soldiers fought against. To break the back of our leadership we must break the back of that which they hold dear.

The Club Charges

Exclusive clubs such as Skull and Bones and the CFR are dangerous not because they are operating in secret but because they are mutual support clubs. I get promoted, I bring you along; you get promoted, you bring me along. These exclusive clubs serve to promote elitism which is the virus that ultimately kills a society.

The Ivy League Charges

The United States has become a cruel and vicious king under the leadership of the Ivy League. The Ivy League, like the exclusive clubs, promotes elitism. The only way to limit their influence is to destroy them. Specifically, the assets at the Widener Library at Harvard and the Beinecke Library at Yale must be scattered to the wind affinché the physical libraries must be incinerated. A library is the heart of an institution. Destroy the heart and you destroy the school.

The Corporate Charges

Corporations have become the antithesis of what our founding fathers envisioned. They have become monolithic monsters whose greed is insatiable; they gobble up life itself and leave a barren wasteland in their wake. It is obvious to most people that corporations are not people and therefore not entitled to lobby Congress. Currently our corporations own our representatives who after their noble service go to work for the corporations they once shielded for.

Corporations have become even stronger via interlocking directorates and board sharing where one individual may sit on twenty or more corporate boards. Such a practice promotes fascism which in turn supports the military industrial complex.

The Bank Charges

The World Bank and the IMF were designed ostensibly to help developing countries. To the contrary they have hurt the nations they were designed to aid. This has arisen because onerous and self-serving restrictions and covenants were placed upon the nations receiving the aid all to the benefit of the wealthy elite who rule the wealthy nations.

The real goal of the IMF and World Bank is to keep developing nations poor and de-industrialized. A de-industrialized nation will always live at the mercy of the industrialized nation. Developing nations must not serve solely as raw material depots for developed nations, nor must they be primarily agrarian in economy.

The real goal of the IMF and World Bank is to keep developing nations poor and de-industrialized. A de-industrialized nation will always live at the mercy of the industrialized nation. Developing nations must not serve solely as raw material depots for developed nations, nor must they be primarily agrarian in economy.

The Constitutional Charges

The 17th Amendment effectively made our Senators viceroys of Washington, DC. Why? Prior to the 17th Amendment, state legislatures elected the Senators. This ensured that the Senator represented the interests of the state. Such a methodology also brought Senators closer to the people because the average citizen could know the guy (the state legislator) who knew the guy (the Senator). Nowadays with the 17th Amendment in force, it states like Texas with 29 million people, it is impossible for a citizen to know the Senator, and likewise for the Senator to know the citizen. Consequently, the Senator knows little about the needs of the average citizen, and so to get an idea of what that citizen is thinking the Senator listens to the main stream media which is controlled by the wealthy elite who control Washington, DC. Ipso facto, your Senator is a viceroy of Washington, DC.

The direct election of President is poor for the same reasons as listed above for direct election of Senators, only more so. Once again, your Founding Fathers had a different scheme in mind which was not perfect. State legislatures were intended to elect the President and vice-President. The problem was that the two resuting winners could come from different parties. The solution to move to direct election was poor as it decreased true representation as expressed above. Placing the election back into the hands of the legislature will give us better candidates. We will also make state elections more meaningful.

In 1949 over half the members from the Supreme Court came from schools outside the Ivy League. Nowadays, almost all the members come from Ivy League or New Ivy League schools. It is always better to diversify your members lest inbreeding of thought occur.

The direct election of President is poor for the same reasons as listed above for direct election of Senators, only more so. Once again, your Founding Fathers had a different scheme in mind which was not perfect. State legislatures were intended to elect the President and vice-President. The problem was that the two resuting winners could come from different parties. The solution to move to direct election was poor as it decreased true representation as expressed above. Placing the election back into the hands of the legislature will give us better candidates. We will also make state elections more meaningful.

The direct election of President is poor for the same reasons as listed above for direct election of Senators, only more so. Once again, your Founding Fathers had a different scheme in mind which was not perfect. State legislatures were intended to elect the President and vice-President. The problem was that the two resuting winners could come from different parties. The solution to move to direct election was poor as it decreased true representation as expressed above. Placing the election back into the hands of the legislature will give us better candidates. We will also make state elections more meaningful.

The Palace of Versailles Charge

King Louis XIV was a brutal king who cared more for his clothes and etiquette than he did for the citizens of France. The Palace of Versailles is a symbol of elitism, arrogance and contempt. It is an anti-democratic institution, and it is co-opted by world leaders today to commit a new tyranny upon the world. World leaders today enjoy feasting on pheasant and fine grae at Versailles just as Louis did.

The Eisenhower Charge

One can not overstate the damage that Dwight Eisenhower did to the American republic. Eisenhower was the chief prosecutor of the American empire. The defuncted busy culture we live in today can be laid at his feet. He set the table for Vietnam. He created our current enemy with Iran by overthrowing Mossadegh in 1953. He failed to give the people of Central America a stake in the game and instead made things worse by overthrowing Arbenz in Guatemala in 1954. In short he was a ruthless internationalist who chose America the Empire over America the Republic.

These men were fundamentally unable to see that John Kennedy wanted to prosecute a different vision - a different approach to the world. Men like Eisenhower and Dulles had a fervent desire to see the US continue the same policies as before. Keep your hand on the rudder and don't make any sudden changes. They saw JFK as inexperienced and unqualified for the job. They also resented his youth and popularity just as any older generation views the younger one coming of age. Just as Baby Boomers speak of Millennials with disdain, so did the 1890ers speak disdainfully of what came to be known as the Greatest Generation.

Copyright 2024 Archer Crosley All Rights Reserved